



Monthly Report:
July

FY2022

Section 1: Population and Staffing Data

Table 1a: Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	25	23	20	23	17	20	22
Harrisburg	51	39	35	39	30	34	28
Pere Marquette	13	16	16	11	8	10	8
St. Charles	37	34	37	34	36	34	30
Warrenville	21	24	23	21	20	202	17
IDJJ Total	147	136	131	128	111	118	105


Table 1b: Average Daily Population by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	21.4	22.8	20.6	20.8	19.2	19.1	17.9
Harrisburg	44.6	45.5	39.4	38.0	34.9	31.6	33.3
Pere Marquette	11.0	13.0	16.1	13.4	9.7	10.6	8.2
St. Charles	31.4	34.5	33.5	38.4	35.9	33.1	38.9
Warrenville	21.8	22.1	25.7	22.0	21.5	21.1	15.5
IDJJ Total	130.2	137.9	135.3	132.7	121.3	115.4	113.7

Table 2: Security Staff Headcount by Facility

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	82	79	78				
Harrisburg	131	130	130				
Pere Marquette	46	44	44				
St. Charles	135	133	127				
Warrenville	65	61	60				
IDJJ Total	459	447	439				

Chart 1: Facility Populations on Last Day of Month Last Seven Fiscal Years



Section 2: Mental Health Data

Table 5: Percent of Youth Psychotropic Medication

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	100%	95.8%	95.5%	65.5%	65.2%	68.2%	73.9%
Harrisburg	60.6%	68.3%	57.1%	56%	56.5%	82.1%	72.7%
Pere Marquette	36.8%	55%	59.1%	60%	38.5%	41.7%	57.1%
St. Charles	49.0%	43.1%	41.0%	45.8%	48.9%	42.9%	33.8%
Warrenville	75%	72%	74.2%	56%	58.3%	59.1%	58.3%
IDJJ Average	62.8%	63.2%	59.4%	54.6%	54.2%	58.2%	54.3%


Table 7: Distribution of Mental Health Levels

	Mental Health Level 0	Mental Health Level 1	Mental Health Level 2	Mental Health Level 3	Mental Health Level 3.5	Mental Health Level 4
January	2.6%	46.1%	39.0%	12.3%	0.0%	0.0%
February	1.5%	45.3%	47.4%	5.8%	0.0%	0.0%
March	0.7%	48.9%	44.1%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
April	0.7%	38.3%	51.8%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
May	0.9%	43.6%	49.1%	6.4%	0.0%	0.0%
June	0.8%	39.4%	47.7%	12.1%	0.0%	0.0%
July	0.8%	49.6%	42.0%	7.6%	0.0%	0.0%
IDJJ Average	1.14%	44.46%	45.87%	8.53%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 8: Distribution of DSM V Diagnoses

	Youth with No Diagnosis	Youth with One Diagnosis	Youth with Two Diagnoses	Youth with Three Diagnoses	Youth with Four or More Diagnoses
January	3.16%	3.80%	17.72%	25.32%	50.00%
February	3.33%	2.00%	13.33%	26.00%	55.33%
March	3.27%	4.58%	14.38%	26.14%	51.63%
April	3.31%	2.65%	13.91%	28.48%	51.66%
May	2.52%	1.68%	15.13%	21.85%	58.82%
June	2.31%	2.31%	11.54%	21.54%	62.31%
July	0.78%	3.88%	14.73%	17.83%	62.79%
IDJJ Average	2.67%	2.99%	14.39%	23.88%	56.08%

Chart 3: Percent of Youth on Psychotropic Medication



Section 3: Substance Abuse Treatment Data

Table 9: Number of Treatment Participants

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	9	12	13	11	10	10	13
Harrisburg	9	13	11	9	11	8	8
Pere Marquette	19	20	22	20	13	12	14
St. Charles	8	7	7	5	6	6	8
Warrenville	9	8	8	8	10	7	6
IDJJ Total	54	60	61	53	50	43	49

Table 10: Average Length of Stay for Completers

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	118	143	67	-	-	38
Harrisburg	87	64	-	46	121	80	-
Pere Marquette	97	-	159	-	116	-	62
St. Charles	95	44	-	-	42	-	70
Warrenville	-	82	5	-	93	-	23
IDJJ Average	95	69	86	56	100	80	68

Table 11: Percent of Discharges by Reason

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Successful Completion	46.2%	46.7%	30%	23.8%	33.3%	25%	50%
Behavioral Discharge	7.7%	0%	10%	9.5%	0%	0%	0%
Youth Released	30.8%	33.3%	50%	61.9%	57.1%	75%	40%
Other Discharge	15.4%	20%	10%	4.8%	9.5%	0%	10%

Table 12: Primary Substance Abused

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Marijuana	77.8%	81.7%	82%	86.8%	88%	95%	93.9%
Alcohol	3.7%	5%	3.3%	3.8%	0%	0%	0%
Other Drugs	14.8%	11.7%	13.1%	9.4%	12%	5%	6.1%
No Diagnosis	3.7%	3.3%	1.6%	0%	0%	0%	0%

For the youth whose primary substance abuse is not specified, 1 reported using opiates and 1 reported using other drugs.

Chart 5: Number of Treatment Participants by Facility





Chart 6: Discharges by Reason



Section 4: Education Data

Table 13: Students Enrolled in School

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	14	13	13	11	9	12	9
Harrisburg	33	31	34	30	26	24	27
Pere Marquette	13	10	15	11	8	7	5
St. Charles	12	13	11	13	11	11	16
Warrenville	12	14	15	14	13	14	10
IDJJ Total	84	81	88	79	67	68	67

Table 14: Teachers Employed

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	7	7	7	7	7	7	7
Harrisburg	16	15	15	15	15	15	15
Pere Marquette	6	6	6	6	6	6	6
St. Charles	7	7	7	7	7	5	7
Warrenville	5	5	5	4	4	6	4
IDJJ Total	41	40	40	39	39	39	39

Table 15: General Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	1.2	0.8	0.8	0.5	0.4	1.2	0.8
Harrisburg	0.9	1.0	1.3	1.0	1.6	0.7	1.0
Pere Marquette	1.2	1.2	1.6	1.4	1.4	1.2	0.6
St. Charles	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.5	2.3	2.3
Warrenville	3.3	3.3	3.7	3.3	2.3	2.0	3.0
IDJJ Average	1.3	1.2	1.4	1.1	1.4	1.3	1.3

Table 16: Special Education Student to Teacher Ratio

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	7.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.0	6.0	5.0
Harrisburg	4.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	2.0	3.4	0.4
Pere Marquette	7.0	4.0	7.0	4.0	5.0	1.0	2.0
St. Charles	3.0	4.0	3.0	3.5	2.5	2.0	3.5
Warrenville	1.0	2.0	2.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	1.0
IDJJ Average	4.1	4.1	4.2	4.7	3.0	3.1	1.6

According to the *RJ Consent Decree*, DJJ is required to have general education student to teacher ratios of 10 youth to 1 teacher and special education student to teacher ratios of 6 youth to 1 teacher.

Table 17: Overall Diplomas Awarded

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Total
Chicago	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	3
Harrisburg	2	0	1	3	3	2	4	15
Pere Marquette	1	0	1	1	1	3	0	7
St. Charles	0	2	0	1	1	1	2	7
Warrenville	0	0	1	3	1	0	1	6
IDJJ Total	4	2	3	8	6	7	8	38

Table 18: Percent of Special Education Students

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	50%	61.5%	61.5%	72.7%	77.8%	50%	55.5%
Harrisburg	69.7%	67.7%	61.8%	66.7%	38.5%	70.8%	7.4%
Pere Marquette	53.8%	40%	46.7%	45.4%	62.5%	14.3%	40%
St. Charles	50%	61.5%	54.5%	53.8%	45.4%	36.4%	43.8%
Warrenville	16.7%	28.6%	26.7%	50.0%	46.2%	42.9%	20%
IDJJ Total	53.6%	55.6%	52.3%	59.5%	49.3%	50%	26.9%


Table 20: Youth in Continuing Education

	Post-Secondary	Collegiate
IYC Chicago	4	0
IYC Harrisburg	0	11
IYC Pere Marquette	5	0
IYC St. Charles	0	7
IYC Warrenville	1	0
IDJJ Total	10	18

Table 21: Number and Percent of Cancelled Whole School Days

	Number	Percent
IYC Chicago	0	0%
IYC Harrisburg	0	0%
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0%
IYC St. Charles	0	0%
IYC Warrenville	0	0%
IDJJ Total	0	0%

Chart 7: Student to Teacher Ratio by Facility



Section 5: Reportable Incident Data

Table 21a: Number of Reportable Incidents

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	8	4	2	7	3	3	0
Harrisburg	16	10	8	9	8	16	6
Pere Marquette	5	20	6	9	1	3	1
St. Charles	11	11	17	16	9	7	12
Warrenville	8	9	11	3	10	9	7
IDJJ Total	48	54	44	44	31	38	26

Table 21b: Rate of Reportable Incidents per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	37.4	17.5	9.7	33.7	15.6	15.7	0	18.5
Harrisburg	35.9	22.0	20.3	23.7	22.9	50.6	18.0	27.6
Pere Marquette	45.5	153.8	37.3	66.9	10.3	28.3	12.2	50.6
St. Charles	35.0	31.9	50.7	41.7	25.1	21.1	30.8	33.8
Warrenville	36.7	40.7	42.8	13.6	46.5	42.7	45.2	38.3
IDJJ Average	36.9	39.2	32.5	33.2	25.6	32.9	22.9	31.9

Table 22a: Number of Youth on Youth Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	1	1	1	1	0	0	0
Harrisburg	6	4	4	5	4	4	3
Pere Marquette	0	5	3	2	1	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	1	4	0	1	1
Warrenville	2	2	3	1	1	2	1
IDJJ Total	9	13	12	13	6	7	5

Table 22b: Rate of Youth on Youth Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	4.7	4.4	4.9	4.8	0	0	0	2.7
Harrisburg	13.5	8.8	10.2	13.2	11.4	12.7	9.0	11.3
Pere Marquette	0	38.5	18.6	14.9	10.3	0	0	11.8
St. Charles	0	2.9	3.0	10.4	0	3.0	2.6	3.1
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	11.7	4.5	4.7	9.5	6.5	7.9
IDJJ Average	6.9	9.4	8.9	9.8	4.9	6.1	4.4	7.2

Table 23a: Number of Youth Fights

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	3	0	0	6	1	2	0
Harrisburg	9	5	3	4	3	9	3
Pere Marquette	2	9	2	2	0	0	1
St. Charles	5	6	12	9	4	3	4
Warrenville	2	2	2	1	2	3	3
IDJJ Total	21	22	19	22	10	17	11

Table 23b: Rate of Youth Fights per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	14.0	0	0	28.8	5.2	10.5	0	8.4
Harrisburg	20.2	11.0	7.6	10.5	8.6	28.5	9.0	13.6
Pere Marquette	18.2	69.2	12.4	14.9	0	0	12.2	18.1
St. Charles	15.9	17.4	35.8	23.4	11.1	9.1	10.3	17.6
Warrenville	9.2	9.0	7.8	4.5	9.3	14.2	19.4	10.5
IDJJ Average	16.1	16.0	14.0	16.6	8.2	14.7	9.7	13.6

Table 24a: Number of Youth on Staff Assaults

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	4	3	1	0	2	1	0
Harrisburg	1	1	1	0	1	3	0
Pere Marquette	3	6	1	5	0	3	0
St. Charles	6	4	4	3	5	3	7
Warrenville	4	5	6	1	7	4	3
IDJJ Total	18	19	13	9	15	14	10

Table 24b: Rate of Youth on Staff Assaults per 100 Youth

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21	Avg.
Chicago	18.7	13.2	4.9	0	10.4	5.2	0	7.5
Harrisburg	2.2	2.2	2.5	0	2.9	9.5	0	2.8
Pere Marquette	27.3	46.2	6.2	37.2	0	28.3	0	20.7
St. Charles	19.1	11.6	11.9	7.8	13.9	9.1	18.0	13.1
Warrenville	18.3	22.6	23.3	4.5	32.6	19.0	19.4	20.0
IDJJ Average	13.8	13.8	9.6	6.8	12.4	12.1	8.8	11.0

Chart 9: Rate of Reportable Incidents Per 100 Youth





Chart 10: Rate of Youth on Staff Assault Per 100 Youth



Section 6: Indicator Data

Table 25: Uses of Chemical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Harrisburg	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	0	1	0	0	0	0	3
Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IDJJ Total	0	1	0	0	0	0	3

Table 26: Uses of Mechanical Restraints

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	2	2	1	3	3	1	0
Harrisburg	4	1	1	2	0	2	3
Pere Marquette	2	0	1	0	0	0	0
St. Charles	5	5	8	6	3	1	5
Warrenville	3	3	4	2	3	0	1
IDJJ Total	16	11	15	13	9	4	9

Uses of chemical and mechanical restraints have varied throughout the year, although the use in the current year is much lower than years past. Numbers and rates of uses of restraints should be related to population size and number and types of incidents. More important than how frequent they are is whether they are used according to policy and for appropriate reasons.

Section 7: Confinement Information

Table 28a: Use of Behavioral Holds

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	0	0	0	5	3	0	0
Harrisburg	35	26	30	16	21	24	20
Pere Marquette	1	8	0	3	2	6	1
St. Charles	16	16	22	8	4	7	17
Warrenville	22	46	37	21	29	20	18
IDJJ Total	74	96	89	53	95	57	56

Table 28b: Rate of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	0	0	0	24	16	0	0
Harrisburg	78	57	76	42	60	76	60
Pere Marquette	9	62	0	22	21	57	12
St. Charles	51	46	66	21	11	21	44
Warrenville	101	208	144	95	135	95	116
IDJJ Average	57	70	66	40	78	49	49

Table 28c: Average Length of Behavioral Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	48	90	-	-
Harrisburg	147	136	104	86	155	110	134
Pere Marquette	37	56	-	55	96	84	80
St. Charles	64	73	63	71	165	74	156
Warrenville	122	90	131	69	96	64	54
IDJJ Average	120	97	105	72	121	87	114

Table 29: Use of Crisis Care

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	1	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	1	-	1	-	1	3
Warrenville	-	-	1	1	-	-	1
IDJJ Total	0	1	1	2	1	1	4

Table 30: Use of Medical Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	15
Warrenville	-	-	-	5	-	-	-
IDJJ Average	1	0	0	5	0	0	15


Table 31: Use of Administrative Hold

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	0	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 32: Use of Investigative Status

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Harrisburg	1	-	5	-	-	-	-
Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	6
Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IDJJ Total	1	0	5	0	0	0	6

Chart 12: Rate per 100 youth of Behavioral Holds



Section 8: Aftercare Data

Table 33: Youth on Aftercare

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Total Number of Youth Assigned to Aftercare	509	498	482	475	460	446	
Youth in Community	224	225	224	221	229	205	
Youth in Facilities	121	110	106	101	83	90	84
Youth with Pending Criminal Cases	140	139	134	133	133	135	123
Youth with Warrants and Out of State	24	24	18	20	15	16	13

Table 34: Caseloads in Aftercare (per Specialist)

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Youth Assigned to Specialist	8.4	8.2	7.5	7.3	7.1	6.7	6.7
Youth in Community	5.5	5.5	5.1	5.0	5.2	4.7	4.8
Youth in Facilities	3.0	2.7	2.4	2.3	1.9	2.0	1.9

Table 35: Average Specialist Caseload by Aftercare Office – July 2021

	Number of Specialists	Number of Youth	Average Caseload	Number of Youth in Community	Average Caseload in Community
Chicago	8	43	5.4	36	4.5
Chicago Heights	3	16	5.3	14	4.7
Aurora	2	18	9	16	8
Rockford	4	24	6	22	5.5
Peoria	4	21	5.3	16	4
East St. Louis	7	39	5.6	33	4.7
Champaign	4	30	7.5	23	5.8
Springfield	3	27	9	19	6.3
Placement	6	47	7.8	14	2.3
Intensive	3	29	9.7	17	5.7
IDJJ Total	44	294	6.7	210	4.8

Table 36: Specialist Contact with Youth by Aftercare Office – July 2021

	Number of Overall Contacts	Contacts per Youth	Contacts with Youth in Community	Contacts per Youth in Community
Chicago	108	2.5	107	3.0
Chicago Heights	45	2.8	44	3.1
Aurora	48	2.7	46	2.9
Rockford	102	4.3	97	4.4
Peoria	77	3.7	73	4.6
East St. Louis	107	2.7	101	3.1
Champaign	96	3.2	93	4.0
Springfield	77	2.9	77	4.1
Placement	131	2.8	65	4.6
IDJJ Total	791	3.0	703	3.6

Table 37a: Parole Revocations

	Parole Revocations Heard	Revocations Approved	Revocations Denied	Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	5	5	0	0
<i>February</i>	6	5	1	0
<i>March</i>	3	3	0	0
<i>April</i>	2	0	2	0
<i>May</i>	2	2	0	0
<i>June</i>	4	2	2	0
<i>July</i>	6	4	1	1
IDJJ Average	4	3	0.9	0.1

Table 37b: Parole Revocation Rates

	Percent of Revocations Approved	Percent of Revocations Denied	Percent of Hearings Continued
<i>January</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>February</i>	83.3%	16.7%	0%
<i>March</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>April</i>	0%	100%	0%
<i>May</i>	100%	0%	0%
<i>June</i>	50%	50%	0%
<i>July</i>	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%
IDJJ Average	71%	26.2%	2.4%

With lower numbers of admission for technical parole violators, the numbers of parole revocation hearings held monthly has greatly decreased compared to previous years.

Section 9: Population Stock/Flow

Table 38: Institutional Stock/Flow

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Number of Admissions per Month	46	19	28	26	16	23	23
Percent of Admissions Parole Violators	4.3%	0%	7.1%	11.5%	6.3%	8.7%	8.7%
Number of Facility Exits per Month	18	29	33	30	36	17	35
Percent of Exits to Aftercare Supervision	83.3%	93.1%	90.9%	90%	86.1%	94.1%	94.3%

Table 39: Aftercare Stock/Flow


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
Number of New Supervised Youth	15	28	30	28	33	16	34
Number of Exits from Aftercare	25	34	41	28	28	35	21

Table 40: Admissions to Facilities by Type

	July 2021	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	4	17.4%
Court Evaluation Return	0	0%
Discharged and Recommitted	3	13%
Initial Commitment	11	47.8%
New Commitment	3	13%
Technical Parole Violator	2	8.7%
Return Additional Mittimus	0	0%
Total	23	

The decrease in DJJ populations is a direct effect of both decreasing court admissions, decreasing admissions for technical violations, and decreases in length of stay during the fiscal year. Additionally, rates and numbers of discharges from Aftercare have increased as well, which has helped those numbers stay lower as well, which provides fewer youth to be readmitted into facilities. Looking at the types of admissions to DJJ, a greater percent of youth are initial commitments than in previous years.

Chart 13: Admission Types to Facility, July 2021



Section 10: TRD Data for July 2021

Table 41: Adjustments by Facility

	Reductions	Extensions
IYC Chicago	42	0
IYC Harrisburg	20	4
IYC Pere Marquette	63	2
IYC St. Charles	148	8
IYC Warrenville	40	0
Total	312	14

Table 42: Types of Adjustments Used

	CHI	HRG	PER	STC	WRV	DJJ Overall
<i>BARJ Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Delinquent Discretionary Reduction</i>	1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>Ed/Voc Reduction</i>	4	1	1	50	11	67
<i>Grade Level Reduction</i>	2	0	2	3	2	9
<i>JSO Completion Reduction</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>No Maj. Sanc. Reductions</i>	5	7	5	6	4	27
<i>Other Tx Reduction</i>	1	1	0	5	5	12
<i>SA Completion Reduction</i>	1	3	9	5	3	21
<i>YASI Goal Reduction</i>	28	8	46	79	15	176
<i>Discipline Extension</i>	0	4	2	8	0	14

Chart 14: Types of Adjustments used by Agency




Table 43: Frequency of BARJ Adjustment

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
IYC Chicago	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IYC Harrisburg	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
IYC Pere Marquette	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IYC St. Charles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
IYC Warrenville	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0

Table 44: Average Length of BARJ Adjustment


	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
IYC Chicago	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IYC Harrisburg	3	-	-	7	-	-	-
IYC Pere Marquette	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IYC St. Charles	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
IYC Warrenville	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Average	3	0	0	7	0	0	0

Table 45: Frequency of Discipline Extensions

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
IYC Chicago	10	6	3	3	4	7	0
IYC Harrisburg	18	10	4	10	7	7	4
IYC Pere Marquette	4	17	15	2	7	6	2
IYC St. Charles	5	6	8	3	7	6	8
IYC Warrenville	1	4	5	0	5	5	0
Total	38	43	35	18	30	31	14

Table 46: Average Length of Discipline Extension

	1/21	2/21	3/21	4/21	5/21	6/21	7/21
IYC Chicago	8	6	6	7	4	5	-
IYC Harrisburg	7	8	10	6	9	5	4
IYC Pere Marquette	18	8	16	10	8	7	11
IYC St. Charles	9	10	11	8	4	10	10
IYC Warrenville	45	8	12	-	10	4	-
Average	10	8	13	7	7	6	8



Section 11: YASI

Table 47: YASI Pre-Screens: July 2021

	Legal Risk	Social Risk	Protective	Overall Risk
<i>High</i>	79	63	5	74
<i>Moderate</i>	19	33	39	23
<i>Low</i>	0	2	54	1
Total	98	98	98	98


Table 48: YASI Full Assessments: Risk

	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	22	-	-
<i>High</i>	23	88	70
<i>Moderate-High</i>	18	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	19	1	19
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	3	-	-
<i>Low</i>	4	0	0
<i>None</i>	0	0	0
Total	89	89	89

Table 49: YASI Full Assessments: Protective


	Dynamic	Static	Overall
<i>Very High</i>	2	-	-
<i>High</i>	6	7	10
<i>Moderate-High</i>	13	-	-
<i>Moderate</i>	38	14	42
<i>Low-Moderate</i>	13	-	-
<i>Low</i>	16	14	36
<i>None</i>	1	54	1
Total	89	89	89

Chart 16: Risk and Protective Levels of Youth




Release Reviews

Reviews by Type per Month



	Annual	Cont.	Director's Request	HSD/GED	Max Release Date	Substance Abuse	Target Release Date	Total
January	1	1	0	1	0	5	19	27
February	0	6	2	1	1	4	14	28
March	0	5	1	0	0	2	18	26
April	2	1	0	4	0	5	16	28
May	0	4	0	2	0	2	21	29
June	1	2	0	3	0	2	16	24
July	0	4	1	4	2	7	12	30

Percent of Release Reviews by Outcome and Month



Demographic Profile for July 31th, 2021

Sex	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Male	99	95.2%	349	95.9%
Female	5	4.8%	15	4.1%

Age	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Average Age	17.9		18.4	
16 & Under	21	20.2%	62	17.2%
17 to 20	81	77.9%	280	77.6%
20.5 & Over	2	1.9%	19	5.3%

Race/Ethnicity	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
White	16	15.4%	62	17.0%
Black	71	68.3%	250	68.7%
Hispanic	10	9.6%	32	8.8%
Native American	0	0%	1	0.3%
Asian/Pacific Islander	0	0%	0	0%
Multi/Biracial	7	6.7%	19	5.3%

Committing Counties ¹	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Cook	20	19.2%	95	26.1%
Collar Counties	8	7.7%	31	8.5%
Metro-East	9	8.7%	22	6.0%
Central	36	34.6%	119	32.7%
Southern	9	8.7%	24	6.6%
Northern	22	21.2%	60	16.5%
Other	0	0%	13	3.6%

¹ Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion, Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Murder	10	9.6%	0	0%
Class X Felony	35	33.7%	76	20.9%
Class 1 Felony	28	26.9%	105	28.8%
Class 2 Felony	26	25%	125	34.3%
Class 3 Felony	4	3.8%	26	7.1%
Class 4 Felony	1	1%	19	5.2%

Prefix	Institutions		Aftercare	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	7	6.7	0	0%
Delinquent	47	45.2%	331	90.9%
Extended Jurisdiction	5	4.8%	7	1.9%
Felon	29	27.9%	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	4	3.8%	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	8	7.7%	6	1.6%
Violent Juvenile	4	3.8%	7	1.9%
From Other State	0	0%	13	3.6%

Aftercare Completion – July 2021

Characteristics of Youth at Exiting Aftercare

Youth exiting aftercare are youth that are being discharged from IDJJ custody and youth that are entering an IDJJ facility

Sex	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Male	23	92%
Female	2	8%

Age	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Average Age	19.0	
16 & Under	4	16%
17 to 20	16	64%
20.5 & Over	5	20%

Race/Ethnicity	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
White	7	28%
Black	17	68%
Hispanic	1	4%
Multi/Biracial	0	0%

Committing Counties ²	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Cook	10	40%
Collar Counties	0	0%
Metro-East	2	8%
Central	6	24%
Southern	0	0%
Northern	4	16%
Out of State	3	12%

² Committing Counties: Cook = Cook; Collar Counties = DuPage, Kane, Lake, McHenry, Will; Metro East Counties = Madison, St. Clair; Central IL Counties = Adams, Bond, Brown, Calhoun, Cass, Champaign, Christian, Clark, Coles, Crawford, Cumberland, Dewitt, Douglas, Edgar, Effingham, Fayette, Ford, Fulton, Greene, Hancock, Henderson, Iroquois, Jasper, Jersey, Knox, Livingston, Logan, McDonough, McLean, Macon, Macoupin, Marshall, Mason, Menard, Montgomery, Morgan, Moultrie, Peoria, Piatt, Pike, Sangamon, Schuyler, Scott, Shelby, Stark, Tazewell, Vermillion, Warren, Woodford; South IL Counties = Alexander, Clay, Clinton, Edwards, Franklin, Gallatin, Hamilton, Hardin, Jackson, Jefferson, Johnson, Lawrence, Marion, Massac, Monroe, Perry, Pope, Pulaski, Randolph, Richland, Saline, Union, Wabash, Washington, Wayne, White, Williamson; North, IL Counties = Boone, Bureau, Carroll, DeKalb, Grundy, Henry, Jo-Daviess, Kankakee, Kendall, LaSalle, Lee, Mercer, Ogle, Putnam, Rock Island, Stephenson, Whiteside, Winnebago; Other = Out of State

Offense Class	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Murder	0	0%
Class X Felony	5	20%
Class 1 Felony	5	20%
Class 2 Felony	8	32%
Class 3 Felony	2	8%
Class 4 Felony	2	8%

Prefix	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Court Evaluation	0	0%
Delinquent	20	80%
Extended Jurisdiction	0	0%
Felon	0	0%
First Degree Murderer	0	0%
Habitual Juvenile	0	0%
Violent Juvenile	2	8%
From Other State	3	12%

Discharge Reason	Aftercare Exits	
	Number	Percent
Admitted to Institution	5	20%
Aftercare Discharge	5	20%
Adult Sentence Discharge	6	24%
Transfer to Adult Parole	0	0%
Court Ordered Discharge	1	4%
Discharged from Illinois Custody	3	12%
Statutory Discharge	4	16%
Death	1	4%

Youth Outcomes at Discharge from Aftercare – Year to Date

These are youth to date youth outcomes are for youth discharging aftercare and excludes youth still under IDJJ supervision in facilities

